REMARKS

The office action rejects claims 1-66 for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-73 in "copending" Application No. 09/996,355. It imposes no other rejections.

In response, the applicant traverses the double patenting rejections, as Application No. 09/996,355 has gone abandoned. On September 9, 2004, the USPTO mailed a notice of abandonment in Application No. 09/996,355 and the applicant has taken no action to revive the application.

Moreover, the subject application claims a reverse aspect geometry. The disclosure of the patent application whose claims formed the basis of the double patenting rejection is not believed to have disclosed that concept.

Respectfully Submitted,

DATE

Richard A. Neifeld

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

BTM

Printed: August 10, 2006 (3:34pm)

Y:\Clients\X2YA\X2YA0013\X2YA0013U-US\Drafts\Response 060810.wpd